# **EXHIBIT 2**

(702) 475-8903 FAX: (702) 966-3718

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	THE BARNABI LAW FIRM, PLLC					
2	CHARLES ("CJ") E. BARNABI JR., ESQ.					
2	Nevada Bar No. 14477					
3	375 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104					
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119					
4	Email: cj@barnabilaw.com					
5	Telephone: (702) 475-8903 Facsimile: (702) 966-3718					
	Attorney for Defendant, Allan Rothstein					
6	,					
7	UNITED STAT					
8	DISTRI					
9	CANDY TORRES,					
10	Plaintiff,					
. 0	,					
11	v.					
12	ALLAN ROTHSTEIN and KYLE					
13	PUNTNEY,					
14	Defendants.					

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00594-APG-GWF

DEFENDANT, ALLAN ROTHSTEIN'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant, Allan Rothstein ("Rothstein"), by and through his counsel of record counsel of record, Charles ("CJ") E. Barnabi Jr., Esq. of The Barnabi Law Firm, PLLC, hereby responds and objects to Plaintiff, Candy Torres' Second Set of Interrogatories as follows:

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made solely for the purpose of this action. The responses do not waive any appropriate objection, including, but not limited to, those based on competency, relevancy, materiality, attorney-client privilege, work-product, or admissibility, which would require the exclusion of any statement made herein if the statement were offered into evidence in Court.

The following responses are given without prejudice to Rothstein's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered facts that Rothstein may later uncover. The answers contained herein are made in a reasonable and good faith effort to supply as much factual information as is presently known, but should in no way lead to the prejudice of Rothstein in relation to further discovery, research or analysis.

### GENERAL STATEMENT

- 1. Rothstein hereby asserts the following General Objections (the "General Objections") to the Interrogatories, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference into the response to each individual Interrogatory below. From time to time, and for purpose of emphasis, Rothstein may restate one or more of the General Objections as specific objections to individual Interrogatories. The failure to include any General Objection in any specific response is not to be interpreted as a waiver of any General Objection.
- 2. By responding to Torres' interrogatories, Rothstein does not concede the relevancy or materiality of any interrogatory or of the subject to which it refers. Rothstein's responses are made subject to, and without waiving any objections to the competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege, or admissibility of any of the responses given, or of the subject matter to which they concern, in any proceeding in this action or in any other subsequent proceeding. Such responses are made specifically subject to the right to object to any discovery proceeding involving or relating to the subject matter of the interrogatories responded to herein.
- 3. Rothstein objects and responds to the Interrogatories on the basis of facts and circumstances as they are presently known to Rothstein. Rothstein has not completed his discovery and preparation for trial. Accordingly, all of the following objections and responses are provided without prejudice to Rothstein's right to introduce at trial any evidence it subsequently discovers. Rothstein reserves the right to supplement its objections and responses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to the Interrogatories based upon newly-discovered evidence or information of which Rothstein is not aware as of the present date.

- 4. Rothstein objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they call for the production of trade secrets, confidential information and proprietary information regarding Rothstein's business and/or business operations.
- 5. Rothstein objects to each and every interrogatory to the extent that it purports to require Rothstein to produce information in violation of a legal or contractual obligation of nondisclosure to a third party. Rothstein will not produce such information without either the consent of the relevant third party or a court order compelling production.
- 6. It should not be inferred from the form or substance of any objection or response herein that information responsive to any particular Interrogatory exists.
- 7. Rothstein objects to the definitions and instructions contained in the Interrogatories to the extent that they impose obligations on Rothstein beyond those permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable case law. Rothstein will interpret each Interrogatory and respond pursuant to, and in light of, the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable case law.
- 8. Rothstein objects to Torres' definitions, instructions and interrogatories to the extent that they purport to require the production of information that does not exist or is not in Rothstein's possession, custody or control. Any statement by Rothstein that he will produce information or categories of information is not to be construed as a representation that such information exists or is in Rothstein's possession, custody or control.
- 9. The General Objections set forth above apply to. and are hereby incorporated into each specific response and/or objection set forth below. Rothstein responds and/or objects to each Interrogatory subject to these General Objections, and without waiving them.

-	10.	Rothstein	objects	to these	interroga	itories to	o the	extent	they	contain	multiple
discrete	subpar	ts and rese	erves its	right to s	eek a prot	ective o	rder if	and wh	nen Pl	aintiff p	ropounds
interrog	atories	in excess	of the	25 intern	ogatory l	imitatior	ns on	the ser	vice o	of interr	ogatories
under F	ed. R.	Civ. Pro 33	3. Roths	tein will	only respo	ond to 25	5 inter	rogator	y requ	iests, inc	lusive of
subparts	S.										

# SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORIES

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Rothstein responds and specifically objects to the individual Interrogatories as follows:

### Affirmative defenses

12. Please state each reason, including any fact supporting each reason, that tends to prove defendant Allan Rothstein's contention that persons other than those named as defendants – Allan Rothstein and Kyle Puntney – may be liable to plaintiff or should be named as a party in this action.

### **Response to Interrogatory 12:**

Please see responses to prior interrogatories six, eight and nine, which objections are likewise incorporated herein. Additionally, there may be other parties which have assisted, induced or in concert assisted Plaintiff in violating the lease or engaging in numerous false allegations, which are presently unknown. Defendant reserves the right to amend this response and/or amend its FRCP 26.1 Disclosure as further discovery reveals.

13. Please state each reason, including any fact supporting each reason, that tends to prove defendant Allan Rothstein's contention that Candy Torres has already violated several provisions of the Lease Agreement and that the lease should be terminated immediately, and in any event will not be renewed.

### **Response to Interrogatory 13:**

Please see responses to prior interrogatories eight and nine, which objections are likewise incorporated herein. More specifically on August 6, 2019, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's SWAT Department appeared at the property and an arrest was made due to illegal or unlawful activity. Defendant is in the process of obtaining the incident report. On August 25, 2018, when the property was inspected it was apparent that the garage and water heater were the subject of willful and malicious destruction. Plaintiff has also been informed by Mr. Puntney that he does not intend to renew the lease which ends in November 2019. Defendant does not believe that Mr. Puntney is obligated to renew the lease, nor does he believe that he is obligated to convince Mr. Puntney to renew the lease.

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September 2019.

### THE BARNABI LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: \_\_\_\_/s/ CJ Barnabi CHARLES ("CJ") E. BARNABI JR., ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14477 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorney for Defendant, Allan Rothstein

### Case 2:19-cv-00594-APG-EJY Document 220-2 Filed 08/07/22 Page 7 of 9

# THE BARNABI LAW FIRM, PLLC

375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 475-8903 FAX: (702) 966-3718

### **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF NEVADA	)	
	)	SS
COUNTY OF CLARK	)	

I, Allan Rothstein, am a Defendant in this action. I have read the foregoing answers to interrogatories. I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true of my own knowledge, except those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada and the United States of America that the foregoing facts are true and correct.

Executed this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September 2019.

/s/ Allan Rothstein ALLAN ROTHSTEIN

THE BARNABI LAW FIRM, PLLC					
CHARLES ("CJ") E. BARNABI JR., ESQ					
Nevada Bar No. 14477					
375 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104					
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119					
Email: cj@barnabilaw.com					
Telephone: (702) 475-8903					
Facsimile: (702) 966-3718					

Attorneys for Defendant, Allan Rothstein

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CANDY TORRES,	Case No.: 2:19-cv-00594-APG-EJY
Plaintiff,	
v.	
ALLAN ROTHSTEIN and KYLE PUNTNEY,	
Defendants.	

# DEFENDANT'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES, AND DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

I hereby certify that I am an employee of The Barnabi Law Firm, PLLC, and that on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of August 2019, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of foregoing: **Defendant's Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories, and Defendant's Responses to Plaintiff's Second Request for the Production of Documents**, to be served in the following manner:

\_\_\_\_\_ (ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to Rule 5-4 of the Local Rules of Civil Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, the above-referenced document was electronically filed on the date hereof and served through the Notice of Electric

Page 1 of 2

### Case 2:19-cv-00594-APG-EJY Document 220-2 Filed 08/07/22 Page 9 of 9

28